3:08-cr-00726-CMC Date Filed 12/04/13 Entry Number 410 Page 1 of 1
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION
United States of America, ) Cr. No. 3:08-726 (CMC)
v. OPINION and ORDER
Jaskie Kovin Hellidov
Jackie Kevin Holliday, )
Defendant.
This matter is before the court on Defendant's letter, construed by this court as a motion to
compel the Government to file a Rule 35 motion. ECF No. 403. This motion is similar to a motion
to compel previously denied by this court. See ECF No. 395.
Under Rule 35(b), the decision to move for reduction of sentence is solely in the discretion
of the Government. The district court is without authority to compel such a motion unless
Defendant can show that his cooperation is complete, and that the Government breached the plea
agreement or that the Government's failure to file resulted from an unconstitutional motive or was
not rationally related to a legitimate government goal. Wade v. United States, 504 U.S. 181, 185-86
(1992); United States v. Butler, 272 F.3d 683, 686 (4th Cir. 2001). Defendant must make a
"substantial threshold showing," Wade, 504 U.S. at 186, of either of these elements which should
constitute more than a recitation of the assistance provided.
Defendant has made no showing of any change in circumstances since the last time he filed
a motion to compel. Defendant has not provided any evidence of a breach of the plea agreement by
the Government, nor has he made a "substantial threshold showing" relating to either element noted

above. Therefore, Defendant's motion is **denied**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina December 4, 2013